## Tracy, Mary

From:

OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

Sent:

Friday, October 17, 2014 1:17 PM

To:

Tracy, Mary

Subject:

FW: Comment on New Provision 1.0B; Terms "Legal Practitioner"

Lisa advised me to forward this to you to see if it is on a proposed rule. Thanks, WG

From: leslie lehnhoff [mailto:leslie\_k88@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Friday, October 17, 2014 1:12 PM **To:** OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

Subject: Comment on New Provision 1.0B; Terms "Legal Practitioner"

Hello:

This comment is being submitted in respect to the LLLT RPC, New Provision 1.0B, term, "Legal Practitioner."

I would like to recommend the Supreme Court and WSBA LLLT board consider giving licensed LLLTs the option of identifying themselves as:

(1) Limited License Legal Technicians,

and/or

(2) Limited License Legal Practitioners

The rationale behind this suggestion is that in the real world, it is a relatively understood and accepted principle that the term "Technician" relates to a low level ranking on the hierarchy of the profession; whereas the term "Practitioner" connotes a higher ranking on the same hierarchy.

I believe the LLLT ranking deserves to be considered as between a paralegal and an attorney, which is what I understand it to be in essence, though that might be an oversimplification.

Since the Supreme Court and WSBA LLLT board are currently considering the terminology, including this term "Practitioner," it is an appropriate time to consider when LLLTs can refer to themselves in the alternate form such as suggested above.

Thank you for your consideration of this comment.

Leslie Lehnhoff (current student in LLLT program)